United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

Section 1A		Elig	<u>CS Entry O</u> gible:	YES X NO
Date of Report: 11/29/2005				YES NO NO NO No No No Number (from Section 4)
DSR Number: 117-05-013K Project Number: Bogue Lusa C	<u> </u>	Lin	nited Resour	rce Area: YES NO
Sponsor Name: City of Bogalusa Section 1B Spon	sor Info	rma	tion	
Address: P.O. Drawer 1179		سيساري		
City/State/Zip: Bogalusa, LA 70429-1179			and the second s	
Telephone Number: (985) 730-4397 Fax: (985) 73	35-9308			
Section 1C Site Loc	eation In	ifor	mation	
County: Washington State: Louisiana	Con	<u>e</u> res	sional Distri	ict: 01
Latitude: see comments Longitude: see comments	Section	: _39	To	wnship: 3 South Range: 13E
UTM Coordinates: UTM-16				
Drainage Name: Sogue Lusa Creek	_Reach:		4500 FT	
Damage Description: Large trees and other debris blocking channel	flow			
Section 1D Si	te Evalu	atio	'n	
Att and a character of the form	************			
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for I	YES		NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	1 11	- 1	.10	Remarks
Danage was a resum of a finite an distance:	1			* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil		-		
erosion prevention?*	✓	i i		
Threat to life and/or property?*	7	.		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	7	.		
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	7	. 1		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	-	- !		10 July 10 Jul
St. D. f	17/2020/2020			
Site Defensibility Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to	ps.130.750.750508			
warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	✓		in the second	STREET, STREET
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	7			
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES VES NO Comments:	s of the a	nffee	ted populati	on have been informed of the EWP
2.000.000				

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages

1 of 14

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Removal of Storm debris from channel by conventional methods.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 119,100.00

ASection 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

Date Reviewed: 12

Approved By:

State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1980, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information; political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource	2B Existing	2C Alternative Designation						
Concerns	Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative				
		Ramove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using only one side of the channel for access	Leave all debris and large trees in the channel	Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using both sides of the channel for eccess				
		2	D Effects of Alternativ	ves				
Soil			C FEDERAL ROLL					
Bank erosion	disturbed soils from bank tree falls and uprooting 20-T/ac	removal of debris will allow reveg, and reduce loss 5-T/ac	potential for further damage from debris 20-T/lac	revegiteduce soil loss 10-T/a				
Water		A STORE STATE						
Downstream water rights								
00	low DO from decreased water flow	remove debrisincrease flow/DO	blockage/low DO	remove debris/increase flow/D				
800	high BOD/debris decomposition	improved 800 by removing detritus	high BOD/decomposition	improved BOD/less detritus				
Overall stream health	SVAP = 4	SVAP = 5	SVAP = 4	SVAP = 5				
Air								
perticulate matteridust	no effect	slight temporary increase	no effect	slight temporary increase				
Disease								
Plant		***						
riparian plants	excess debris potential damage	debris removal will increase growth potential	continued growth limitation	debris removal will increase growth potential				
Animal				NEW YORK				
Aquatic habitat	impaired food web quality	quality incressed/reduce BOD	quality reduced/high BOD	quality increased/reduce BOD				
welland habitat	damaged due to debris	increase/low damage	slight increase with time	slight increase w/ increased damage resulting from access				
Other								
vectors	stagnant pools/dutter	decrease potential	potential disease transmittal	decrease potential				
aesihetics	Poor due to clutter	Improved by debris removal	Poor due to dutter	Improved by debris removal				

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource	Existing Condition		Alternatives and Effects	
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA jurisdiction	improve water quality; CWA permit required	decrease water quality; increase blockage/clutter	improve water quality; short term increase in damage; CWA parmit required
Coastal Zone Management Areas	N/A	N/A	NIA	N/A
Coral Reefs	NA	NIA	NIA	NIA
Cultural Resources	(FOTG) State level review needed			
Endangered and Threatened Species	Several species listed in Parish (FOTG, USFWS, LDWF)	none an-site (FOTG) no suitable habitat on-site (field investigation); no effect	none on-site (FOTG) no suitable habitat on-site (field investigation); no effect	none on-site (FOTG) no suitable habitat on-site (field investigation); no effect
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	NA	N/A	NIA	N/A
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	State level review by project type with USFWS &LDWF Consultation not required for debris removal	Consultation not required for debris removal	NA	Consultation not required for debris removal
Floodplain Management	100-year floodplain function is impaired	Improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity	100-year floodplain function is impaired	improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storn hydraulic capacity
Invasive Species	Chinese Tellow trees - along road and channel	access incressed to allow active management to control exotic species	potential increase from exposed soils and reduced competition	access increased to allow active management to control exotic species
Migratory Birds	food and habitat for Passerines reduced	Food and cover quality improved	Food and cover quality reduced	Food and cover quality improved
Natural Areas	(FOTG) none identified	(FOTG) none identified	(FOTG) none identified	(FOTG) none identified
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none identified	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none identified	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none identified	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) nane identified
Riparian Areas	riperian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology	riparian area improved by debris removal; some temporary impacts resulting from access	riparian area impacted by increased debris and altered hydrology	riperian area improved by debris removal; increased temporary impacts resulting from access
Scenic Beauty	debris negatively impact	debris removal increases potential for restoring scenic beauty	debris negatively impact	debris removal increases potential for restoring scenic beauty
Wetlands	welland floodplains impacted due to clutter	welland floodplains impacled due to dutter restored to prestorm value	welland floodplains impacted due to clutter	wetland floodplains impacted due to clutter restored to prestorm value
Wild and Scenic Rivers	LDWF List - a tributary of the West Pearl River	improve water quality within a reach of a Scenic River	reduce water quality within a reach of a Scenic River	improve water quality within a reach of a Science River

Completed By: Kris Davis	Date: 11/29/2005
--------------------------	------------------

DSR NO:	117-05-010K	
---------	-------------	--

Section 2F Economic

This section must be co	mpleted by each	alternative considered	(attach additional sheets as necessary).
-------------------------	-----------------	------------------------	--

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
Properties Protected (Private) 25 Homes @ \$ 70,000 Home	1,750,000.00	25	437,500.00
Properties Protected (Public)			
Contraction of the contraction o			
Business Losses			
Other			
	Total Near Term Da	mage Reduction \$	437,500.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term I	Damage Reduction minus Co	est from Section 3)	290,650.00

Net Benefit (Tota	I Near Term Damage Red	luction minus Cost	from Section 3)	290,650.00	· · · M
Completed By: AB	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date:	11/29/2005	348,500	MPI

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?			
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?			
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?			
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)			
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?			

Completed By	·:	Date:	

DSR NO:	
	117_05_013K

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	8
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	8
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	7
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	6
Total Group	29

Census tract(s)	
Completed By: AB	Date: 11/29/2005

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

Sponsor shall obtain a CWA permit prior to construction in channel.

The sponsor shall obtain a Water Quality Certification

Mitigation Description:

Access to a portion of the debris shall be from existing roads. Debris shall be disposed of off-site in an approved landfill. Mitigation is expected to be minimal.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New Orleans District

Brain Breaux

USFWS

LDWF

City of Bogulusa

DSR NO: __117-05-010K

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: YS	Date: 11/29/2005
------------------	------------------

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)	
Mobilization,& Demobilization	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000.00	
Channel Obstruction Removal	4450	LF	25.00 12,00	111,250.00	
Seeding, Sprigging, & Mulching	3	AC	200.00	600.00	
College Street Embankment	1	LS	30,000.00	30,000.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
* reduction due to trent in contracting				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
			1	0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
				0.00	
	Total In:	stallation Cost (Er	nter in Section 1F)\$	146,850.00	

Unit Abbreviations:

AC Acre

Cubic Yard CY

Each EΑ

HR Hour LF Linear Feet

Lump Sum LS

SF Square Feet

SY Square Yard TN Ton

Other (Specifiy)

89,000 m/M

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?	V			1E
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?		V		
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?		~		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?		1		
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?	and a	0.590.00		
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			е	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove debris from channel by conventional means

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the	e Environmental Economic, Social;	the Special Environmental
Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27).	I find for the reasons stated below,	that the preferred alternative:

√ Has been sufficiently as	nalyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter 5.2.2.1.2	M., D. W. M. T.
Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
May require the prepara	ation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. the NRCS State Office on this date:
NRCS representative of the DSR team	A. Bulant.
Title: District Conservationist	Date: /1/29/2005
Title.	
Section 5B Comments:	
Section 5C	Sponsor Concurrence:
Sponsor Representative	
Title: James B Hell	Date:11/29/2005
Section 6 Attachments:	
A. Location Map B. Site Plan or Sketches	

C. Other (explain)

SITE MAP DSR 117-05-013K BOGALUSA

BOGUE LUSA CREEK (EST. LENGTH: 4,500')



